UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/583,256	04/06/2007	Jean-Denis Sauzade	Q95439 9114		
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 05/25/201 ON, PLLC	EXAMINER			
2100 PENNSY	LVANIA AVENUE, N	BLIZZARD, CHRISTOPHER JAMES			
SUITE 800 WASHINGTOI	N, DC 20037	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3771		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/25/2010	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

sughrue@sughrue.com PPROCESSING@SUGHRUE.COM USPTO@SUGHRUE.COM

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/583,256	SAUZADE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	CHRISTOPHER BLIZZARD	3771	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 30 April 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07( Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex- under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	36(a) and the appropriat of the fee. The appropriat nally set in the final Offic	e extension fee ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed water AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I  (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NO¯ w);	ΓE below);	
appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a one of the NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			ie issues ioi
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all</li> </ul>	:		
non-allowable claim(s).  7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-6 and 14-21.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDALITY OF OTHER FOLLOWS.		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	t before or on the date of filing a No	otice of Appeal will not	be entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu The applicants arguments are not persuasive. Applicant sensor of Claassen is not persuasive because both sense for each other. Applicant's argument that the combination disposed in a sleeve cooperating at one end with the disposition of the sensor of Rocci meets this position limited limitation is met. Applicant's argument regarding the sentence are two parts (2, 3) which the sensor (6) is between Claassen would not be around a valve member is no pereplacing the sensor of Rocci would place a part of it around 12. ☑ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	t's arguments regarding the replaci- sors detect a flow through a tube ar- on of Rocci and Claassen does not penser member and the other end- tion, and thus by replacing it with the nsor of Claassen not being between n. Applicant's argument that the se resuasive because the sensor or Cla- bund the valve member of Rocci.	ng of the sensor of Road are therefore obvious teach the detector me with the dispenser end sensor of Claassen to two parts is not persensor in the combination	occi with the us alternatives eans being decause the the entire uasive because on of Rocci and
13. Other:	( 5/55/55) i apoi 110(5).		

Application No.

/Steven O. Douglas/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3771

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20100520